

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/614,276	07/12/2000		Wolfgang Theimer	473-009548-US(PAR)	2128
2512	7590	04/22/2003			
PERMAN		N		EXAMINER	
425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD, CT 06824				WOO, ISAAC M	
	, 01 000			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				ARTONIT	- FAFER NUMBER
				2172	_
				DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	91

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1	
	Application No. Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/614,276	THEIMER ET AL.
•	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Isaac M Woo	2172
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 16 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this ap (1) a timely filed amendment v	plication. A proper reply to a which places the application in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing da S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF	te of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending the period of extending the period of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of led statutory period for reply originally se	the fee. The appropriate extension fee under t in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or searc	th (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by n	naterially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canc	eling a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because: _	for reconsideration has been o	onsidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLE	LY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered ownuld be rejected is provided t	or b) will be entered and an opelow or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 2-7 and 9-18.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) □ approved or b) □ dis	approved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s)·
10. Other:		< Down
		SHAHID AL ALAM PATENT EXAMINER





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 18, lines 1-20, recites additional limitations in comparing to the finally rejected claim 18: "based on confidence value, determining data records that match the identified terms as well as probability values assigned thereto, wherein each of said probability value is calculated for each stored data record as ratio of the number of times this data record has been used during a certain period in the recent past to the total number of times all the data records have been used during this certain period so as to describe the probability of the data record being used again".

Thus, they require further consideration and search.